



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/514,424	05/26/2005	Rudolf Peter Muis	OCT-0013-US	8641
36183	7590	06/11/2008	EXAMINER	
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP 875 15th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005			ROLLAND, ALEX A	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		4172		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		06/11/2008	PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/514,424	MUIS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ALEX ROLLAND	4172	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 May 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 2-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/16/04</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 2-11 in the reply filed on 5/28/08 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no search burden associated with examination of Group I and Group II and that dependency of claim 2 on claim 1 prevents restriction. This is not found persuasive because the standard for restriction under 371 is unity of invention, not search burden. As mentioned in previous office action, there is clearly lack of unity existing between the inventions because the feature involved in the inventions are not same and clearly different, therefore, the inventions lack the unity.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.  
Claim 1 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner in accordance with 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to non-elected claims.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 2-7, 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kiguchi et al (EP 0930641 A2).

Kiguchi et al teaches an apparatus comprising:

a tubular dispensing means in the form of an ink-jet print head equipped with a nozzle plate having a plurality of tubular nozzles (col. 7, lines 42-53);  
an ink tank functioning as a liquid container (col. 7, line 33); and  
a pipe connecting said ink tank to said ink-jet print head functioning as a conduit means (See Fig. 1, item 27 and col. 7, line 34).

Claim 3-5: a drive mechanism which allows the ink-jet print head to be moved in the direction of the X- axis and Y-axis (col. 8, lines 38-50); additionally, it is possible to use an arrangement in which the substrate is moved in relation to the ink-jet print head (col. 8, line 51-col. 9, line 2); it is well established in the art that movement of the substrate in this fashion constitutes an XY table.

Claim 6: a treatment apparatus is provided which may include a compressor and heater for blowing hot air, a laser emitting diode for generating laser light, or a lamp for lamp irradiation all of which are used to heat the substrate during the coating process (col. 10, lines 19-58).

Claim 7: the ink-jet print head 2 is connected at a first outer end to a first liquid supply pipe 27 (See Fig. 1) and said ink-jet print head is closed at a second outer end, the side wall of the ink-jet print head(See Fig. 1 and Fig. 18).

Claim 10: nozzle 211 has circular outer periphery in vertical cross-section (See Fig. 18 & 19).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 8, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiguchi et al (EP 0930641 A2) in view of Crockett et al (US 6,655,791). Kiguchi et al is discussed above but does not teach two liquid supply lines connected to the tubular dispensing means or conduit means comprising a liquid metering pump . However, Crockett et al teaches a printhead 15 connected to a first liquid supply line (unlabeled, line that connects management block 16 to printhead 15) and a second liquid recirculation line 10 (See Fig.1). Also, Crockett et al teaches an ink management block comprising a pump assembly and flow rate control. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the printing technique of Kiguchi et al and the printhead arrangement of Crockett et al for the predictable result of increased control of dispensing. One would have been motivated to make such modification because it increases industrial applicability not only by improving quality but also reducing manufacturing cost.

Although Kiguchi et al is discussed above but does not teach lateral outlet openings provided in the top side of the tubular dispensing means which is recited in claim 9, however, Kiguchi et al teaches lateral outlet openings 211 in the bottom side of the tubular dispensing means 2 (See Fig. 20) and bottom dispensing is but one of the finite number of predictable solutions including top dispensing and side dispensing. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to try top dispensing in an attempt to improve the dispensing process, as a person with ordinary skill has a good reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp.

***Conclusion***

8. No Claims are allowed. All pending claims are rejected for the reasons set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEX ROLLAND whose telephone number is (571)270-5355. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday though Friday, 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on (571)272-0579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ALEX ROLLAND/  
Examiner, Art Unit 4172

/Vickie Kim/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4172

Application/Control Number: 10/514,424  
Art Unit: 4172

Page 7